THE OLD KINGDOM ART AND ARCHAEOLOGY

PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE HELD IN PRAGUE, MAY 31 – JUNE 4, 2004

Miroslav Bárta editor

Czech Institute of Egyptology Faculty of Arts, Charles University in Prague Academia Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Prague 2006

Continuity or collapse Wooden statues from the end of the Old Kingdom and the First Intermediate Period

Julia Harvey

I. Introduction

When visiting museums all over the world in the late 1980s for my research into wooden statues of the Old Kingdom, I was also permitted by the museum curators to record information about the wooden statues they held from the First Intermediate Period and the Middle Kingdom. The original intention was to publish everything together, but the sheer volume of material defeated that object very swiftly. There turned out to be nearly 240 statues² from the Old Kingdom alone, and there are a further 500 at least from the First Intermediate Period and Middle Kingdom. The task of analysing this vast corpus of First Intermediate Period and Middle Kingdom material has just begun, in collaboration with Wolfram Grajetzki. This paper presents the preliminary results of research into some wooden statues from the end of the Old Kingdom and the First Intermediate Period. It must be stressed, however, that the choice of statues is to some extent random, and subsequent research may affect any or all of the tentative conclusions presented here.3 Although the pool of material is relatively large, any new find or reallocation of an individual statue to this period, or reassignment of a statue to an earlier or later period, will always have the potential to upset the 'system'. The final aim is clarification and understanding, not a hard and fast set of rules that will apply in every situation.

During the reign of Pepy II, definite changes in the pattern of distribution of wooden statues begin to emerge. Burials begin to contain more statues than previously, although now generally of a less refined quality, and certainly smaller in scale. New provenances also begin to appear – places where previously only an occasional statue was found now became major sources. One good example is the cemetery of Naga ed-Deir, where wooden statues only appear late in the reign of Pepy II but which from then on was a major source not only of wooden statues but also of wooden statue groups.⁴

In the light of recent reassessments of the history of the First Intermediate Period,⁵ from a period of chaos affecting the entire country to a more nuanced picture where only the traditional centralized system was in disarray, and where the grassroots were actually thriving, it is interesting to examine whether this holds true for individual categories of material. The first impressions from the wooden statues are that this is indeed the case. Although the more impressive examples of statues with regard to size and quality are now extremely rare – there is much less evidence for the custom of the king granting royal gifts of burial equipment and tombs to members of the court elite in this period of weak central control – in the provinces, noble families and others in a position to do so seem to have been ordering many smaller and often refined examples for their burials.⁶

¹ Julia Harvey, *Wooden Statues of the Old Kingdom. A Typological Study* [Egyptological Memoirs 2] (Leiden, 2001). Henceforth Harvey, *WSOK*.

² Unless otherwise specified, when statues are referred to in this paper they are always wooden statues.

³ For this reason I have decided not to include a list of the statues examined for this paper.

⁴ Harvey, WSOK, 79ff. Cat. Nos. A 106-A 125, A 127-A 128, B 66 and C 8.

⁵ Cf. in particular, S. Seidlmayer, 'The First Intermediate Period', in I. Shaw, ed., *The Oxford History of Ancient Egypt* (Oxford, 2000), 118–147.

⁶ For example the group from tomb N43 at Naga ed-Deir, Harvey, WSOK, Cat. Nos. A108–A124.

The group of statues that have been analysed for this conference consists of statues from the end of the Old Kingdom that are published in my Old Kingdom catalogue, both male and female, and statues attributed to the First Intermediate Period by their excavators or by the museums where they currently reside. The group undoubtedly contains statues that will eventually be dated either earlier or later, into the Old Kingdom or Middle Kingdom. As the specific research into the history of each individual statue with an eye to dating has only just commenced, this paper will concentrate on art-historical aspects and general information. There are undoubtedly also glaring omissions – the most glaring being the group of wooden statues of Ipy excavated by Peter Munro in the early 1980s, which very likely date to the First Intermediate Period.⁷

This preliminary 'corpus' consists of 34 male statues, 7 of which are in the Old Kingdom catalogue, 23 female statues, 12 of which are in the Old Kingdom catalogue, and 32 female offering bearers, none of which are in the Old Kingdom catalogue. I have treated the statues of female offering bearers in groups as individuals for my purposes here, except for provenance.

The provenances	of the statues	are as follows:
-----------------	----------------	-----------------

Assiut	14	Abusir	2
Meir	13	Antinoë	2
Saqqara	11	Dahshur	2
Sedment	7	Luxor	1
Naga ed-Deir	6	Giza	1
Akhmim	4	Gebelein	1
Beni Hassan	3	Abydos	1
el-Bersheh	3	Dendereh	1
		Unknown	1

No statue in the Old Kingdom corpus seems to have been found at Assiut, el-Bersheh, Antinoë, Luxor, Dendereh or Beni Hassan and only 2 can be attributed to Meir. Saqqara, Sedment and Naga ed-Deir continued as centres of statue production into the First Intermediate Period and later, with Naga ed-Deir only coming into the picture late in the reign of Pepy II. This pattern, of course, relies heavily on the accident of preservation.

The typological study of Old Kingdom statues revealed that 3 of the 6 criteria originally investigated were useable from the point of view of dating – hairstyles, dress and how statues hold their arms, and then in combination with each other. These are therefore the criteria that have been used to analyse the new group, starting with the 34 male statues, then the 23 females, and ending with the 32 female offering bearers.

II. Male statues (table 1)

An analysis of the hairstyles of the males reveals that most of them are the same as those on Old Kingdom males (see *table 1a*). Only two new hairstyles appear, each on 3 statues. The first is the bagwig revealing the ears, a variation of the bagwig covering the ears which first appears in the reign of Pepy II.8 The second hairstyle is a shaven head with black dots probably indicating stubble.9

⁷ P. Munro, 'Der Unas-Friedhof Nord-West 6. Vorbericht über die Arbeiten der Gruppe Berlin/Hannover in Saqqara (Teil 2)', *GM* 75 (1984): 73–91.

⁸ Harvey, *WSOK*, 16, fig. 1b.

⁹ This hairstyle may date to the Middle Kingdom. Further research will establish whether the statues with this type of hairstyle have any other features or criteria that point to later than the First Intermediate Period. For the time being, and within the preliminary framework of this survey, the style has been included here.

The dress on male statues is also rather conservative – there are four new varieties, worn by a total of only 9 statues. The only clearly new type is the *shendyt*, originally known from royal contexts. There are two variants worn by 6 statues.

Finally, there are the arms. Statues missing one or both arms have not been included, which left 28 statues in this part of the analysis. Only 2 of them had new ways of holding their arms, both variants of the pendant arms familiar from the Old Kingdom.

The preliminary conclusion concerning male statues is thus that very little seems to have changed. There is one new dress style, the shendyt, and a new hairstyle punk stubble.

Table 1 The 'corpus' of male statues numbers 34, 7 of which appear in the Old Kingdom catalogue. 10 The new types are shown in bold

Male wigs (table 1a) Most of the wigs on FIP males are the same as those on OK males. Only two previously unknown types appear, both of them variants of previous styles

Wig type	Description	Date	No. of statues
W1	Echelon-curl covering ears	Sneferu	2*
W1a	Echelon-curl revealing ears	Teti	2*
W1b	Echelon-curl revealing earlobes	Pepy I	4
W3	Natural hair/skullcap	Izezi	4
W5	Echelon-curl, one layer to forehead, then several rows, covering ears	1*	
W6a	Echelon-curl, vertical rows, revealing earlobes	Pepy II	3*
W6b	Echelon-curl, vertical rows, covering ears	Pepy II	1
W7	Bagwig, covering ears	Pepy II	6
W7a	Bagwig, revealing earlobes	End Pepy II	2
WNew1	Bagwig, revealing ears		3
W8	Echelon-curl, vertical rows, straight fringe, revealing earlobes	Pepy II	2**
W9	Echelon-curl, vertical rows, straight fringe, covering ears	?	1*
WNew2	Shaven head indicated by black dots		3

Male dress (table 1b) Nine of the statues have new forms of dress. The rest comply with the Old Kingdom corpus

Dress type	Description	Date	No. of statues
D2a	Half-goffered kilt, crossflap, tab, no knot	Unas	1*
D2f	Kilt, no goffers, tab or knot	Pepy II	3*

 $^{^{10}}$ Statues from the Old Kingdom corpus are marked (*). Each asterisk indicates an OK statue. The dates given are the earliest appearance of a feature. This applies to all the tables.

Dress type	Description	Date	No. of statues
DNew1	Kilt, belt, mid-calf length		1
D3	Projecting-panel kilt, knee-length	Nyuserra–Pepy I Pepy II	1*
D3a	Projecting-panel kilt, mid-calf length	Teti	7
DNew2	Close-fitting projecting panel kilt, no knot		2
D4b	Nude, uncircumcised	Pepy II	2
D5	Plain skirt with plain belt	Unas	2*
D6	Plain skirt	Teti	9***
DNew3	Shendyt, plain		5
DNew3a	Shendyt with pointed flap		1

Male arms (table 1c) Three statues are missing their arms, another four are missing one arm, which left 28 in the analysis. Only two new ways of holding the arms appear

Arm type	Description	Date	No. of statues
A1	Pendant clasping Steinkern	Unas	3*
A3	R. pendant, pierced fist, l. forward at elbow, pierced fist	OK	7
A4a	R. hand clasped on lap, fingers down, l. hand flat on knee	Unas	1
A6	Pendant, hands fisted and pierced	Unas	3
A7b	R. hand on front flap of kilt, l. hand natural fist	Pepy II	1
A8	Pendant, I. hand open, r. hand holding tube of cloth	Merenra	1
A9	Pendant with two natural fists	Unas	5
A15	Pendant with open hands	End OK	4*
A16	Pendant, r. hand on front edge of p.p. kilt, l. hand holding tube at side	End OK	1*
ANew1	L. arm pendant, hand open, r. hand held forward from elbow, hand pierced		1
ANew 2	Pendant, fisted, r. natural, l. pierced		1

III. Female statues and female offering bearers (table 2)

The female statues are slightly more adventurous. There are 5 new hairstyles among the 21 female statues with wigs, worn by 6 statues. One is a variant of the tripartite wig, but the other 4 styles appear for the first time, for example smooth hair behind the shoulders or a skullcap with real plaits. Two of them are hairstyles

known from other contexts, for example dancing girls – although these statues are clearly not dancing, they may well be dancers (fig. 1).¹¹

No statues have a dress type different from the Old Kingdom, although there is a much higher percentage of nude statues – 16 of the 23 analysed. One statue,



Fig. 1 Statue of a female, Cairo JE 37756, Naga ed-Deir, Tomb 297



Fig. 2 Female offering bearer, Cairo JE 88579, Saqqara D 6, Ishtji Tjetji

however, is wearing a type of sheath dress with a V-shaped bodice which is only otherwise known on a single statue from the Fourth Dynasty, the female from the tomb of the Sheikh el-Beled. Of the 16 statues with arms, only one does not have its arms pendant with open hands, and there is reason to doubt the authenticity of these arms.

Things begin to get interesting with the female offering bearers. As already mentioned above, the statues in groups have been treated as individuals - the groups are usually 2 or 3 statues on a base, sometimes varying in size. Groups with four or more statues have not yet been dealt with and appear to be slightly later in date. Taking a look at the hairstyles, only 7 out of 24 statues have a style known from the Old Kingdom. Six new hairstyles appear, probably related to the function of the female offering bearers as working girls. One style, the short bob covering the ears and with a plait down the back, is worn by 7 statues. Another version of this short bob, but without the plait, is worn by a further 4 statues. The other styles have one or two statues each. Six female offering bearers wear headscarves, presumably to keep their hair out of the way and to protect it from dust (fig. 2).

The female offering bearers also account for all of the new dress variants, 11 in total. Of the 32 statues analysed, 3 were nude and the rest all had a new type of garment, the most popular being a sheath dress with one strap over the left shoulder, covering the left breast, worn by 8 statues, but double straps covering or revealing the breasts are also popular.

Not surprisingly, the arm positions are all new as well – there are 2 main variants, used by 25 of the 27 statues with arms. The right arm is pendant with a pierced fist, probably to hold something like a duck or a

flower, the left is bent up to support the burden on the head with an open hand. The variant is that the hands are not carved. The remaining two female offering bearers have both arms raised and appear in the same group.¹²

¹¹ Cairo JE 30809 (= CG 248); Cairo JE 37756: illustrated in Macramallah, *Mastaba d'Idout*, 13, figs. 6, 7.

¹² Cairo JE 30798 (= CG 250).

Table 2 The corpus of female statues numbers 23, 12 of which appear in the Old Kingdom catalogue. There are also 32 female offering bearers (FOB), counting each offering bearer in a pair or triplet as an individual. The new types are shown in bold

Female wigs, including female offering bearers (table 2a) There are eleven new types of wigs. Whereas female statues remained fairly static in the Old Kingdom, there now seems to be a relative explosion of variety. Six female offering bearers wear headscarves

Wig type	Description	Date	No. of statues	No. of FOBs
Wf2a	Smooth tripartite, revealing ears	End OK	3***	4
WfNew1	Smooth tripartite, centre parting		2	
WfNew2	Smooth tripartite, covering ears, front bunches painted			1
WfNew3	Smooth tripartite, covering ears, centre parting			2
Wf2b	Striated tripartite, revealing ears	End OK	1*	
WfNew4	Crude tripartite/bob			2
Wf3a	Bagwig revealing ears	End OK	1*	
Wf3b	Bagwig covering ears	End OK	1*	
WfNew5	Short, smooth bob, covering ears			4
WfNew6	Short bob, covering ears, plait down back			7
Wf4	Echelon-curl, revealing ears	End OK	3**	
Wf4a	Echelon-curl revealing earlobes	End OK	1*	
Wf4b	Echelon-curl covering ears	End OK	3*	
Wf5	Skullcap	End OK		3
WfNew7	Skullcap with 2 plaits joined into 1 long plait, wooden chock		1	
WfNew8	Skullcap with 1 long plait ending in large circular disc		1	
Wf6	Tripartite echelon-curl, short behind, revealing ears	End OK	1*	
Wf7	Vertical echelon-curl, covering ears	End OK	1*	
WfNew9	Full-bottomed wig, one small bunch behind		1	
WfNew10	Smooth long hair behind shoulders and ears		1	
WfNew11	Smooth long hair behind shoulders covering ears			1

Female dress, including female offering bearers (table 2b) The 11 new types of dress are confined to the female offering bearers. The percentage of nude statues has increased significantly. Type $Df1^{13}$ is only otherwise known from one statue from the fourth Dynasty in the OK corpus

Dress type	Description	Date	No. of statues	No. of FOBs
Df1	Sheath dress, V-shaped bodice	Dyn IV	1	

¹³ Harvey, WSOK, 29.

Dress type	Description	No. of statues	No. of FOBs	
Df2	Sheath dress, no visible bodice	Nyuserra	6*	
Df3	Nude	Merenra and end of OK	16*(x11)	3
DfNew1	Sheath dress, no bodice, one strap diag. over l. shoulder			3
DfNew2	Sheath dress, 2 straps covering breasts, V-shaped bodice			2
DfNew3	Sheath dress with U-shaped bodice			3
DfNew4	Sheath dress, 1 strap over left shoulder, covering breast			8
DfNew5	Sheath dress, no sleeves			2
DfNew6	Sheath dress, V-bodice in front, U-bodice behind			1
DfNew7	Sheath waist to ankle, 2 thin straps between breasts			3
DfNew8	Sheath dress below breasts to mid- calf, 2 wide straps between breasts			1
DfNew9	Sheath dress, 1 strap over r. shoulder, covering breast			3
DfNew10	Hemline implies sheath			2
DfNew11	Black line on waist and legs implies dress			1

Female arms (table 2c) Arms on female statues seem to be consistently pendant with open hands. Nine statues missing one or both arms are not included. No female offering bearers were included in the Old Kingdom catalogue, so not surprisingly, their arm positions are all new

Arm type	Description	Date	No. of statues	No. of FOBs
Af3	Pendant, open hands	Nyuserra	15*(x6)	
AfNew1	Pendant, I. hand open, r. hand pierced fist		1 (arms original?)	
AfNew2	R. pendant, fist pierced, left bent up to burden, hand open			17
AfNew3	R. pendant, left raised, too crude for hands			8
AfNew4	Both arms raised to burden			2

IV. Conclusions

Although this is not the place to go into the inscriptions in detail, it will be interesting to mention what there is so far. Two female statues have inscriptions: the statue of Shepset from Naga ed-Deir has <code>jm3lw lpr ntr</code> ? plus title and name. ¹⁴

¹⁴ Harvey, WSOK, Cat. No. A125.

The statue of Tipet from Meir, 15 has the offering formula plus $n \ k3 \ n$, which is not known from the Old Kingdom corpus. The female offering bearers with inscriptions probably date to the Middle Kingdom – the one in the Meyers Museum at Eton College 16 is inscribed with the titles and name of Hepi Kem. 17 A pair of offering bearers in Copenhagen have two lines of illegible ink traces on their base. 18 They come from the tomb of Gemni at Saqqara.

Eighteen male statues have inscriptions, only one of which appears in the Old Kingdom catalogue, but then with 2 bases – Gegi from Naga ed-Deir. ¹⁹ Of the other 17 statues, only 6 have inscriptions similar to those in the Old Kingdom catalogue, with various forms of jm^3hw hr a god or jm^3h plus name and titles, and 2 are illegible (fig. 3). The remaining inscriptions all expand the text significantly with the prt-hrw n k n offering formula or the htp-di-nzw formula, also with n k n.

Table 3 Comparison of guidelines (in bold), from *Proportion and Style in Ancient Egypt* by Gay Robins, with actual wooden statues

	OK males	OK males	FIP males	FIP males ²⁰	OK females (incl. statues)	FIP females	FIP female offering bearers
Hairline	18	18	18	18 (30)	18	18 (17)	18 (25) ²¹
Neck/shoulders	16	16	16–17	15½–16 (30)	16	16 (9) [15½ (4)]	16 (16) [16½ (2); 15½ (6)]
Shoulder width (m)	5–6	4–5	5–6	5–5½ (18 of 26)	-	-	_
Nipples (f)	_	_	_	-	14	14 (8) [13½ (5)]	14 (18) [14½ (1); 13½ (4)]
Elbow	12	12	12	12 (19 of 29)	12	12 (7) [12½ (3); 11½ (4)]	12 (11 of 19) [11½ (4)]
Small of back	12	12	12	12 (26 of 30)	12	12 (7) [12½ (3); 11½ (4)]	12 (10) [12½ (5); 11½ (2)]
Lower line of buttocks	9	9	9	9 (26 of 30)	9½	9½ (9) [10 (2); 9 (5)]	9½ (12) [10 (8); 9 (2)]
Knee	6	6	6	6 (24 of 30)	61/2	6½ (7) [6 (5)]	5½ (11 of 23) [6 (3); 5 (4)]
Basket/burden (FOB)	_	_	_	-	-	-	3½ (9 of 22) [4 (5); 3 (3)]
Raised arm elbow (FOB)	_	_	_	-	-	-	18 (14 of 20) [18½ (2); 17½ (2)]

Perhaps the most significant results of this preliminary examination of post-Old Kingdom statues have been revealed by the analysis of the proportions of the statues (*table 3*). A similar analysis of the Old Kingdom statues²² revealed that the

¹⁵ Cairo JE 30211 (= CG 442).

¹⁶ Myers Museum 1: S. Spurr, N. Reeves, S. Quirke, *Egyptian Art at Eton College*, (London, New York, 1999), 13.

¹⁷ Cairo JE 30209 (= CG 440).

¹⁸ Copenhagen ÆIN 1628: M. Jørgensen, Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek, Catalogue Egypt I (Copenhagen, 1996), 126–127.

¹⁹ Cairo JE 30211 (= CG 442).

²⁰ The actual number of statues is shown between brackets. If one or both arms are missing, shoulder width or elbow position is difficult or impossible to measure.

²¹ The statues in groups have each been measured separately

²² Harvey, WSOK, 633-636.

second style discussed by Ann Russmann²³ was more a question of a slimming of the limbs than an actual shifting of the gridlines - thus more a question of a visual impression than an actual change in the grid. The most usual points of reference in the Old Kingdom are the hairline, the junction of the neck and shoulders, the elbow, the small of the back, the lower line of the buttocks, and the knee. The males were also expected to have a standard shoulder width, and females had a nipple guideline. For the sake of convenience, Gay Robins transferred these

reference points to an 18-unit grid,24 and her

reference points are shown in bold in table 3.

Each statue was measured from the feet to

the hairline and the result was then divided by 18. This gave the unit height for the statue in question. It was then a simple matter of checking where the canon points fell. As is clear from the table, Old Kingdom males



Fig. 3 Striding male, Cairo JE 39149, Saggara shaft 289, **Ipiemdjebauf**



Fig. 4 Striding female, Cairo JE 30314 (CG 797), Meir

match these points in all except one instance - shoulder width. Wooden statues are consistently 1 unit less broad in the shoulders than 2-dimensional males.25 Old Kingdom females have an identical grid to males, with the exception that their knees and buttocks are consistently half a unit higher, giving them a long-legged appearance. All Old Kingdom statues that were measured fitted this grid with a surprising consistency. The same holds true for the statues analysed for this preliminary study of post-Old Kingdom material. The male statues

from this period conform just as closely

to the Old Kingdom ideal as Old Kingdom males, the sole exception is that their necks are slightly higher, and their heads therefore slightly smaller. This means that although visually many of the statues look totally different stylistically, they actually conform almost slavishly to the canon.

First Intermediate Period females also match the Old Kingdom canon in the majority of cases, and those that do not are usually within half a unit of the ideal (fig. 4). The number in brackets after hairline 18 in table 3 gives the total number of statues, the numbers in square brackets are the alternative unit heights. It will be clear that although an occasional statue varies by 1 or more units from the ideal, this is by no means the norm.

The column for female offering bearers tells the same story until nearly at the bottom - the knees of female offering bearers turn out to be consistently 1 full unit lower than the norm (fig. 2). The rest of the grid points match to the same degree as females - that is, virtually always - but the lowering of the knee produces a visual effect that is unmistakable once you are aware of it - the optical illusion is that the waistline is much higher than usual, but in actual fact it is the upper legs

²³ E. R. Russman, 'A Second Style in Egyptian Art of the Old Kingdom', MDAIK 51 (1995): 269-279.

²⁴ G. Robins, Proportion and Style in Ancient Egyptian Art (London, 1994), 64ff.

²⁵ It would be interesting to see how stone statues measure up in this respect. This falls outside the scope of this paper, however.

166 Julia Harvey

that are exceptionally long. The consistency of this measurement surely cannot be a coincidence. What is also interesting to note is that both crudely made and more carefully made statues all conform to the grid as best they can – quality seems to make no difference, or very little difference; everyone knew and adhered to the canon, it appears, at least in wood.

To conclude, the production of wooden statues seems to continue unabated into the First Intermediate Period and Middle Kingdom. New centres of production appear, but the craftsmen seem to have adhered to the approved canon of proportions. Only a few aspects change over time – probably due to changing fashions in dress and hairstyles. The only 'radical' change to the canon appears to be the height of the knees of female offering bearers, which is a full unit lower than the canon.